WWRC Comprehensive Eval uation and Assessment Center for Excellence: FY '09-'10 Strategic Plan

Goal #1: To implement and evaluate a systematic pre-admission planning process in collaboration with referral sources that improves assessment strategies and outcomes.

Objective	Responsible	Implementation Strategy	Time	Indicators
	Person(s)	-	frame	
1a. To implement recommendations from CEA Pre-Admissions Design Team that will enhance front-end information, result in decreased pre-admission processing time, and eliminate non-essential assessments.	Lori Epik/ Admmissions Committee Jayne Tooley Natasha Benenson Fred Capps Sharon Russo	 Expand Tele-Video capacity to enhance communication and increase integration of technology into the business process. Incorporate Admissions Committee business processes with procedures currently under development for the implementation of AWARE into an 	Dec. 2008 March 2009	- Identified location/time - User education in technology/equipment - Guidelines - policy for when we use this process - List of FRS offices that have needed technology - Write a procedure policy to integrate with AWARE
	Design Team: Suggested Members Kristi Lockhart Kathy Greenan Ellen Murnane Natasha Benenson Robyn Jarvis Steve Kirby Cindy Benson	Operations manual. Revise existing and develop new (i.e Guidelines and Considerations for Referrals and Field Counselor Justification Form) Admissions forms incorporating input received by Pre- Admissions Design team from FRS counselors.	Feb 2009	- Completed forms approved by Medical Records Committee - Feedback from field offices - All partners consistently using current forms - Establish design teams to
	Ed Short Design Team TBD	 Continue development and Increase use of feasibility interviews and clinic assessments to more effectively assess the client needs and answer the referring counselor's questions. 	On-going/ June 2010	develop essential clinics and processes - Present written model for clinics and processes

Goal #1: To implement and evaluate a systematic pre-admission planning process in collaboration with referral sources that improves assessment strategies and outcomes.

Objective	Responsible	Implementation Strategy	Time	Indicators
	Person(s)		frame	
1b. To continuously evaluate standardized business processes outlined in 1a.	Consultants: OD & QA	• To continuously evaluate the effectiveness, efficiency, and impact of pre-admissions planning processes through a standardized QA design.	On-going	Measure Use/ Frequency to show that we are following through (doing it)

WWRC Comprehensive Evaluation and Assessment Focus Group (continued)

Goal #2: To efficiently and effectively provide inter-disciplinary assessment services in response to consumer needs and referral questions, resulting in an integrated final, written report.

Objective	Responsible	Implementation Strategy	Time	Indicators
	person(s)		Frame	
2a. To strengthen the	Program Managers	To implement the proposed Vocational	Sept 2008	- Allocation of resources to
assessment outcomes for	and Lead Clinicians	Readiness Clinic.		support implementation
persons served helping Field				-Evaluate effectiveness,
Counselor increase	Design Team (TBD)	• To evaluate the effectiveness, efficiency,	Sept 2008	efficiency (ODQA)
employment outcomes		and impact of the Vocational Readiness		- Define effective and
(increase impact of	Consultants:	Clinic through a standardized QA design.		efficient
assessment on client	OD&QA			- Implementation
employment outcome)				
2b. To improve integration		To enhance Vocational Evaluator	Ongoing/	- Expanded expertise of
of Assistive Technology	AT Training staff	knowledge, skills, and abilities relative to	June 2009	VE staff members
across vocational assessment		Assistive Technology through		- Development of an
continuum		participation in AT Training for Agency		integrated AT/VE
		staff at WWRC.	1 2000	assessment model
	Design Team (TBD)	To develop and implement an integrated	June 2009	- Development of QA
	Consultanta	AT/VE assessment model for improved		design that will
	Consultants:	integration of Vocational Evaluation and	June 2009	determine effectiveness of
	AT Focus Group CEA Focus Group	Assistive Technology Services.	Juile 2009	integrated AT/VE
	OD & QA	• To evaluate the effectiveness, efficiency,		based on interventions
	OD & QA	and impact of the AT/VE assessment		provided
		model		provided

WWRC Comprehensive Evaluation and Assessment Focus Group (continued)

Goal #3: To provide orientation, education, and training for internal and external referral sources that will result in enhanced understanding and better utilization of WWRC assessment and evaluation services.

Objective	Responsible	Implementation Strategy	Time	Indicators
	person(s)		Frame	
3a. To market CEA program services and options to targeted referral sources	Program Managers and Lead Clinicians	To define CEA program services and options within standardized criteria and annually review and revise, as necessary.	6/30 annually	 Create marketing plan; to be revised on an annual basis Clarify what we are realistically able to do/ what services we are able to provide
	 CEA Focus Group WWRC Marketing Director 	To annually review and update website, brochures, and other marketing materials/resources	6/30 annually	Completed annually
	Private partner will operate and market SA/Neurotrauma program and be responsible for marketing	To develop and implement outreach strategies for SA/Neurotrauma program and veteran populations	6/30 annually	Focus on Veterans more than SA/Neurotrauma program Development of a service strategy/marketing plan

WWRC Comprehensive Evaluation and Assessment Focus Group (continued)

Goal #3: To provide orientation, education, and training for internal and external referral sources that will result in enhanced understanding and better utilization of WWRC assessment and evaluation services.

Objective	Responsible person(s)	Implementation Strategy	Time Frame	Indicators
3b. To develop strategies for ongoing orientation, education, and training of internal and external referral sources relative to CEA program services and	Mike Kelly or Delegate	To explore the feasibility of rotational FRS representation on the WWRC Admissions Committee.	Dec 2008	Approval by Operations CouncilIdentify process to implement
options.	Susan Green/ Counseling Dept. Representation	To explore the feasibility of enhanced communication with FRS through the established DRS New Counselor Orientation Program, WWRC Liaison Program, and other strategies (TBD).	June 2009	Consultant/as needed basis
	Admissions Committee/ Susan Green	To provide training for internal and external referral sources on revised pre- admissions processes	June 2009	 Appropriate referrals Eligibility vs.Entitlement Clarification re: what we are realistically able to do/ what services we are able to provide